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Synopsis

The majority of engineering costs throughout the SoC lifecycle increasingly come from software. If you want your product to succeed you need to get your software right. This means developing higher quality code, fixing more bugs and implementing more optimizations – quicker. Having the best debug and trace options is essential to achieve this. CoreSight is the de-facto standard for debug and trace of ARM based SoCs.

Traditional debug techniques are invasive and characterised by high cost of information. ARM introduces two new components to the CoreSight architecture, the System Trace Macrocell (STM) and Trace Memory Controller (TMC). This white paper explores the limitations of existing software debug and trace technologies, and explains how the STM and TMC enable system level visibility to more developers, with reduced latency and increased throughput, whilst leveraging on existing open source trace infrastructures: Better debug and trace for better software at affordable price.

1 Although trace is a debug technology, in this document, with debug we intend interactive techniques, and with trace the collection and analysis of run-time diagnostic data (such as instruction, program flow, events).
Introduction

Software development costs are rapidly outpacing hardware development costs. Data published\(^2\) by the International Business Strategies (IBS) body indicates that semiconductor companies will spend more on software than hardware at 65nm processing nodes, with the former accounting for 75% of the total development costs at 22nm!

The International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS) 2007 report\(^3\) analysed a very important trend in the ratio between hardware and software engineering and tool costs for high-end SoC designs, as summarised in Figure 1. The report also concluded that some “software aspects of IC design can now account for 80% or more of embedded systems development [engineering] costs”.

![Figure 1 - Trend in ratio between hardware and software engineering and tool costs (data & scale in USD million)](http://bit.ly/aZ7d1j)

Product success is tightly coupled with the quality of the software

Modern System-on-Chip solutions can be extremely complex – including many processing engines, hierarchical bus matrices and power management features – and the software that enables such devices is inherently complex. Today semiconductor vendors are expected to provide complete platforms, not just the basic device drivers. OEMs/ODMs need to rapidly integrate, validate and optimize their multi-layered software stacks. For end products to be successful, software needs to work well, and in time. This is true throughout the design lifecycle, and at all levels of the stack.

If software quality and optimisation is critical to ensure a product’s success, debug and trace technologies are fundamental for this to happen. Traditional debug and software based tracing techniques can be often unacceptably invasive, burdensome or impractical. Current hardware assisted tracing techniques help, but there are still many limitations. Also, a system wide approach is necessary to analyse the interoperations between the many processing agents and the complex infrastructure on the SoC. ARM addresses this by extending the ubiquitous CoreSight architecture with two new components: the System Trace Macrocell (STM) and Trace Memory Controller (TMC).

---


Tracing today

Debug and trace tools and techniques play an exceptionally important role at all stages of the design cycle. This affects system architecture and low-level software, operating system porting, application development, integration, followed by validation, and in-field maintenance and failure analysis. The purpose of debug and tracing does not stop at fixing bugs, but also extends to profiling system behaviour and performance analysis, with the aim of improving overall system efficiency.

Interactive debug activities, such as stop-and-resume, direct access and manipulation of memory and peripherals registers and instruction stepping, are all very invasive and can alter system state and execution patterns. Traditional debug agents have troublesome side-effects such as the triggering of interrupts and other system events like cache flushing. Many types of bug and instances of erroneous behaviour need to be analysed by observing the system whilst it is running. This is particularly true for multi-processor systems. Tracing techniques provide an invaluable complement to interactive debug by tracking run-time information that can either be displayed at run-time or stored for later analysis.

Tracing in Linux

The Linux kernel comes with a large number of built-in debugging and tracing features. These features are normally disabled in production builds, due to the space (code and data size) and performance overheads. Kernel developers can statically enable a wide range of debug options. These can help to: track memory corruption via extra checks on kernel memory allocation functions and removal of freed pages, track improper usage of locking mechanisms (spinlocks), and track kernel stack usage and stack overflows. It is also possible to statically enable the inclusion of kernel symbol information and full debug information, verbose logging of input events, profiling support and low-level support code debug information.

The programmer can use print statements anywhere in the code to provide access to run-time information via the standard output channel. However this is very invasive. In kernel space, printk() allows the developer to classify messages according to their severity by associating a different loglevel, or priority, such as KERN_INFO, KERN_WARNING, KERN_ALERT, KERN_EMERG for example. The location where these messages should be stored or displayed is configurable. Additionally, daemons like syslogd and klogd can be enabled to intercept and log system and kernel messages. The strace command shows the system calls issued by a user space program, including arguments and return values in symbolic form, with information retrieved directly from the kernel. ltrace is a debugging utility to monitor the library calls used by a program and all the signals it receives, as well as system calls.

The Linux kernel also provides instrumentation in the form of kernel Markers and Tracepoints. These are hooking mechanisms that provide static instrumentation that can be enabled at runtime and have a

---

4 For more information see: http://lttng.org/tracingwiki/index.php/Tracepoints_and_Markers.
very small footprint when disabled. The main consumer of these markers in the LTTng framework\textsuperscript{5}, but custom probes can also be attached to them.

**Limitations of software tracing solutions**

Whilst widely used, the execution of tracing primitives in software (for example using `printf/printf` primitives to output to terminal or filesystem) can have a considerable performance overhead. Adding instrumentation code also increases the size of the binary image. A program may no longer fit in cache as well as it did before and this can have repercussions on performance and interfere with debugging by altering the code being executed and debugged. Due to their high latencies, traditional `printf/printf` methods are not suitable for use in critical areas such as inside an interrupt service routine (ISR). Also they cannot be used before the necessary libraries have been initialized, therefore making them of no use for tracing at early boot stages. When disabled, this kind of instrumentation will affect system behaviour, since the execution flow is altered and this also can interfere with debugging. All instrumentation overhead should be reduced to a minimum.

Instrumentation of Operating System (OS) and application software only gives a very narrow view of what is happening in the system as a whole. Modern devices are complex systems composed of several specialised processing units: 3D graphics is mainly processed on a GPU, multimedia via dedicated audio and video accelerators, signal processing by the DSP and so on. All of these processors are interconnected via a hierarchical high-performance bus matrix. To be able to understand what really goes on in the system it is necessary to extend tracing visibility to the whole SoC. This means being able to track execution on other processors as well as bus activity and events throughout the system, something that traditional software tracing techniques do not cater for.

Software instrumentation has also limitations in respect to providing an accurate system wide time correlation for activities coming from all the various components in the system.

For the kernel developer there is some level of tracing infrastructure support, for example in the form of prioritization and grouping when using `printk` statements, and tracepoint hooks for probe functions scattered around the kernel sources. None of this is available to the application developer.

Hardware instruction and data tracing (e.g. with an Embedded Trace Macrocell, where every single instruction executed and its associated data and cycle count is traced) provides very detailed information but produce a very large amount of data for detailed analysis of shorter runs. Program flow tracing

\textsuperscript{5} Linux Trace Toolkit next generation. For more information see: http://lttng.org/.
where the behaviour of the Program Counter is tracked) has a smaller overhead but provides less accurate information. The number of pins and the physical interface to get data off-chip can also be critical bottlenecks. In general, as illustrated in Figure 2, there is a trade-off between how intrusive a technique is, the accuracy and granularity of the information that can be gathered, and the associated overheads, such as performance and resource footprint.

System visibility for all with CoreSight

The ARM CoreSight technology provides additional debug and trace functionality with the objective of debugging an entire system-on-chip. CoreSight is a collection of hardware components which can be chosen and implemented by the chip designer appropriate to his system-on-chip to extend the debug features given by the cores.

Figure 3 illustrates where CoreSight components are located in a generic ARM-based SoC.
In a typical System-on-Chip implementation, the CoreSight\textsuperscript{6} infrastructure includes a debug subsystem and a trace subsystem. Each processing element (DSP, CPU etc) can be paired with an instruction/data (ETM) or program (PTM) trace IP block, enabling it to generate trace information about executed instructions or program flow respectively. CoreSight is an open architecture, therefore third party tracing IP blocks can be integrated in the system. Since it is not practical to have one external channel for each trace source, CoreSight enable all trace sources to be consolidated into one stream (through the Funnel component). The unique trace stream, marked with suitable identifiers and timestamps, can then be duplicated at a later stage if required to be produced to different targets (a trace port, a memory buffer, a serial wire interface, etc). A cross-triggering matrix enables events to be broadcasted throughout the system, so that an interrupt, or signal, or breakpoint, can cause other cores to stop execution for example. This is very valuable for interactive debug of complex multi-processor systems. Events can also be tracked by the tracing infrastructure. Control mechanisms and busses connect the whole infrastructure together, with the system accessible via a unique access point (Debug Access Port, or DAP).

**Improved tracing with the new CoreSight STM and TMC**

In order to improve tracing capabilities in ARM based systems, the CoreSight architecture has been extended to include two new components: the System Trace Macrocell (STM) and the Trace Memory Controller (TMC). The STM is designed for tracing system activity from both software (using memory-mapped stimulus ports) and hardware events. The activity observed by the STM is packaged and delivered to a trace buffer, either on-chip or off-chip. The TMC provides a larger variation of trace collection strategies than was previously available.

**System-wide low-overhead visibility for all**

The STM connects to the AXI system main bus. This provides a flexible, high bandwidth and low latency access point that can be used by any master in the system (such as the CPU, the DMA Controller, the GPU etc) to push tracing data through to the trace infrastructure. The STM supports time stamping, and this enables the temporal correlation of message\textsuperscript{7} streams generated by any master both via the PTM, ETM or even third party trace units.

Due to the reduced processing overheads of piping trace streams through dedicated hardware channels (as opposed to using traditional software only mechanisms), it is possible to enable tracing on production devices. Trace data can, for example, be written to volatile memory and downloaded via wireless communication channels or serial wire debug port (which uses only two pins and is preferable for production devices than 5 pin JTAG ports).

\textsuperscript{6} For more information of CoreSight: Debug and Trace for Multicore SoCs, whitepaper by William Orme (http://bit.ly/9dV2a0) and ARM website product’s page (http://bit.ly/a1LCim)

\textsuperscript{7} A packet of trace data.
In addition to the trace streams from other processing units and the system bus (via the Bus Trace Macrocell), it is possible to collect information about events occurring throughout the system. This information is also globally timestamped for later correlation with all other system trace data.

The STM is driven by low level software in the same way as its predecessor, the Instrumentation Trace Macrocell. Configuration and management is performed via writing to memory mapped registers. The trace data is also written directly to a memory mapped peripheral. This process is abstracted from the perspective of processor\(^8\) code via a library API. ARM has developed a Linux reference device driver, supplied with the STM deliverables. This enables not just kernel but also application developers to instrument trace. STM extends system wide trace visibility to all software developers. Software developers at all levels of the stack can tune for system performance, and have visibility of the SoC internal signals.

**Superseding ITM**

The STM is a natural successor to the CoreSight Instrumentation Trace Macrocell (ITM) in mid/high-performance applications. The STM provides the following advantages over the ITM for software instrumentation:

- A dedicated AXI slave interface for receiving instrumentation. This provides significantly lower latency than the APB interface of the ITM, and is separate to the APB interface for programming the STM registers.
- Multiple processors and processes can share and directly access the STM without being aware of each other, by being allocated a different channel (aka an STM stimulus). 128 masters, each supporting 65,536 stimulus ports, enable significant scalability, with 16 stimulus ports per 4KB page. The ITM supports only 32 channels.
- The STM can optionally stall the AXI when its FIFO becomes full, ensuring that no data is lost because of overflow, without having to poll the FIFO status in software. This behaviour depends on the address written to, and can therefore be controlled by each stimulus port independently.
- An improved, configurable FIFO, supporting up to 32 words of data, reduces the likelihood of the FIFO becoming full. The ITM has only a single word FIFO.
- Timestamping can be requested for each write independently, based on the address written to. Bandwidth can be optimized by requesting a timestamp for only one write in a message made up of several writes.
- Timestamps are automatically correlated with other timestamping trace sources in the CoreSight system, enabling automatic correlation with, for example, full program trace from a PTM.

The STM architecture specification provides backwards compatibility with the ARMv7-M (ARM DDI 0403 ARM ARMv7-M Architecture Reference Manual) and CoreSight ITM programmer’s models (ARM DDI 0314 ARM CoreSight Components Technical Reference Manual). This allows for easy transition for software written for an ARMv7-M or CoreSight ITM to be ported to this new STM. Clearly, older software will not use the new features offered by this STM.

---

\(^8\) Processor can be the CPU, GPU, DSP or any other component that emits trace information.
The Trace Memory Controller (TMC)

The Trace Memory Controller (TMC) is designed as a successor to the CoreSight Embedded Trace Buffer (ETB). It extends the capture of trace streams using:
- a debug interface such as 2-pin serial wire debug or JTAG
- the system memory
- the high-speed links that already exists in the System-on-Chip (SoC) peripheral as well as the existing dedicated on-chip trace buffer or off-chip trace port interface.

The TMC can also operate as a First In First Out (FIFO) in the system. This reduces trace overflows and the required trace port size by averaging out the trace bandwidth to reduce peaks.

The TMC has the following major configurations that are fixed when the design is integrated into a system:
- Embedded Trace Buffer (ETB). This enables trace to be stored in a dedicated SRAM, used as a Circular Buffer. This configuration is similar to the CoreSight ETB.
- Embedded Trace FIFO (ETF). This enables trace to be stored in a dedicated SRAM, used either as a Circular Buffer or as a FIFO. The functionality of this configuration is a superset of the functionality of the ETB configuration.
- Embedded Trace Router (ETR). This enables trace to be routed over an AXI bus to system memory or to any other AXI slave.

CoreSight: The ubiquitous debug and trace architecture

When silicon vendors and OEMs choose the debug architecture for their system, they must also consider the level of the technology’s adoption and tools support. The ARM CoreSight debug and trace is the de facto standard debug & trace architecture: it is adopted by major OEMs and SiPs and it is used across all market segments. The CoreSight infrastructure is already implemented by the vast majority of market leading platform provides, including NVIDIA (Tegra-2), Texas Instruments (OMAP4), ST-Ericsson (U8500) and Samsung (S5PCx10).

CoreSight debug & trace is supported by ARM tools but there is also a large tool ecosystem (over 27 tool vendors, including Lauterbach, Green Hills Software, American Arium, Mentor Graphics). This forms an implicit guarantee for SiPs and OEMs that their silicon will be supported by tool vendors.

Standardization helps rapid adoption in the industry. ARM is a leading member of the MIPI™ Test and Debug Working Group. STM implements the newly defined System Trace Protocol (STPv2).

---

9 The Mobile Industry Processor Interface (MIPI) Alliance is an open membership organization that includes leading companies in the mobile industry that share the objective of defining and promoting open specifications for interfaces inside mobile terminals. Formed in July 2003 by ARM, Nokia, STMicroelectronics and Texas Instruments, MIPI aims to shave complexity and costs while boosting flexibility for cell phones and the chips that drive them. http://www.mipi.org/
Linux tracing using STM

STM extends system wide trace visibility to all types of software developer. ARM has developed a Linux reference device driver and a user space API/library supplied with the STM deliverables. This enables not just kernel but also application developers to take advantage of hardware assisted instrumentation trace and leverage on the features and benefits of STM. The following diagram shows how the various components interoperate in an example Linux system.

![Diagram of tracing in Linux using STM](image)

The beauty of this CoreSight based tracing solution is that it is possible to re-use the existing Linux built-in tracing framework, without modification. This takes the name of auto-instrumentation. In addition, the application developer can instrument code the traditional way, by inserting trace statements anywhere they wish, but this time using the appropriate API calls exposed by the STM_Trace user space library as opposed to printf statements.
Auto-instrumentation

The Linux kernel provides instrumentation in the form of kernel Tracepoints\(^{10}\). These are hooking mechanisms that provide static instrumentation that can be enabled at runtime, and have a very small footprint when disabled. The main consumer of Tracepoints is the LTTng framework, but custom probes can also be attached to them. There are over 150 of these instrumentation points across the Linux kernel, mainly in critical areas such as the scheduler, the memory manager, file system, entry/exit of interrupt routines and system calls. Simply by enabling the provided STM Linux driver and the Linux tracing infrastructure, all of this information is sent to the STM hardware. Markers/Tracepoints can be grouped into subsystems, and the supplied supporting API allows for each subsystem’s trace to be sent over a specific STM channel. STM channels are dynamically allocated to each kernel subsystem.

Application tracing

The application developer must define templates for trace statements that can then be used when performing the actual instrumentation of the application code. These templates are known as Tracers, and work very similarly to printf routines, in that they consist of a text string and placeholders for data variables. Tracers can be grouped together into trace producer subsystems: each subsystem trace stream can then be allocated to a single STM channel. This classification is arbitrary, the programmer’s decision, and it is possible to ignore by using a single global subsystem or trace channel.

The STM Trace API is very easy to use. The following is an example of instrumenting an MP3 player application. An MP3 playback application using hardware acceleration can, very infrequently, drop frames. This happens if the decoding is not accomplished by the set deadline. It is not possible to debug this behaviour using traditional debugging tools and mechanisms: the application must be analysed at runtime, the codec is implemented in hardware and there is no correlation between user, kernel and hardware events. CoreSight addresses all of these limitations by enabling the runtime tracing and correlation of hardware events and auto-tracing (if enabled). The supplied user space library API enables the developer to also instrument the user application, complementing the available information.

In order to instrument the application code, the programmer needs to proceed as follows. The first step is to define a handler for the trace stream producer:

```c
static unsigned long mp3_decode_tracer;
```

Then, in the appropriate location in the application code, the programmer needs to initialize the Tracer:

\(^{10}\) There is also a variant of Tracepoints, known as Markers, that enables the direct generation of LTTng events from printf-like functions.
The `create_tracer()` API call initializes the instrumentation statement template. The parameters define the name, trace subsystem and template details. All other instrumentation statements using the same parameter will be sent to the same STM port. This step is transparent for the application developer, and it is implemented inside the STM driver. This is very useful for readability and later correlation when using visualization tools. The programmer is also able to specify the formatting of the trace message to be sent to the STM hardware (in a similar way to a `printf` statement) and other characteristics such as the Quality-of-Service qualifiers.

The actual trace instrumentation statement can then be embedded in the application code wherever appropriate. In this example, `decode_frame()` is invoked by the application for each MP3 frame being parsed. The application measures the elapsed time for the frame decoding, and stores this in `decode_time`.

```c
[...] void initialize_mp3_decoder()
{
    [...] /* Initialize mp3 decoder components */
    mp3_decode_tracer = create_tracer("my mp3 decoder",
        STM_QoS_GUARANTEED,
        3,
        "filename:%s : frame no :%d decode time :%d\n");

    [...] /* More initialization */
}

[...]
```

The effect of the `trace()` statement is to forward the compiled information to the STM hardware, where it will be time-stamped and then channelled to the appropriate device as supported by the TMC for collection, processing and display by the visualization tools. The association with the `mp3_decode_tracer` subsystem name will also be maintained.

```c
[...] void decode_frame(char * filename, int frame_id)
{
    int decode_time; /* Elapsed time for decoded frame */

    [...] /* Co-ordinate with hardware to decode frame, and time it */

    trace(mp3_decode_tracer,
        filename,
        frame_id,
        decode_time); /* Trace decode times for every frame */

    [...] /* Pass the frame to audio rendering component*/
}

[...]
```
The trace data output from the trace infrastructure can then be visualized for easier inspection, using currently available tools such as LTTng (Linux Trace Toolkit next generation):

![Screenshot of the LTTng visualization tool in action, visualizing STM trace information](image)

**Figure 5** - Screenshot of the LTTng visualization tool in action, visualizing STM trace information

## Conclusion

Software has become the predominant cost in the lifecycle of a System-on-Chip. End-product success relies on software being ready on time, performing well and being of high quality. The debug and trace options available to developers are critical to enable this.

Current debug and trace techniques are invasive and provide limited visibility for complex multi-core, multi-processor, multi-layered platforms. ARM CoreSight, the de-facto standard trace and debug solution in ARM based systems, addresses this with the System Trace Macrocell (STM) and Trace Memory Controller (TMC) components.

STM extends trace instrumentation and visibility to the whole system, for all types of software and software developers. STM also:

- leverages on existing technologies such as in-built software tracing infrastructures (Linux Tracepoints and Markers) and tools (LTTng);
- complements existing hardware trace technologies such as ETM, PTM and third party trace engines;
- provides system wide visibility by enabling correlation with other trace streams and system events;
- complies to the MIPI STPv2 standard, facilitating industry adoption;
- benefits from the improved selection of trace collection strategies enabled by the TMC.

**To find out how STM and TMC can help you, contact alex.growcoot@arm.com**